A Tutorial on Graph Neural Networks Graph Convolution, Attention and SAmple and aggreGatE

Zhiming Xu

zhiming×u@smail.nju.edu.cn





< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Department of Computing The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

October 15, 2020



Introduction

Graph Convolutional Networks

GraphSAGE

Graph Attention Network

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





Graph

A data structure consists of *Vertices*¹ and *Edges*. Denoted by set \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{E} , respectively, a graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$.

Neural Networks

An interconnected group of neurons performing a series of computations.





Input Layer $\in \mathbb{R}^2$ $\ \ \mbox{Hidden Layer} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ Output Layer $\in \mathbb{R}^1$

(a) A graph with six vertices and eight edges.

(b) A neural network with one hidden layer.

Figure 2: Example of graph and neural network.

¹The word "node" and "vertex" are used interchangeably in this tutorial. $\langle \Xi \rangle = 0$

Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)



- ▶ A type of neural networks operating directly on graphs [1].
- To learn a state representation which contains information of each vertex's neighborhood.
- Notations in this tutorial

Notation	Description
\mathbb{R}^m	<i>m</i> -dimensional Euclidean space
a, \vec{a}, A	scalar, vector, matrix
A	adjacent matrix
X	(node) feature matrix
D	degree matrix, $D_{ii} = \sum_{j} A_{ij}$
I_N	N-dimensional identity matrix
$ec{h}, H$	learned hidden vector, matrix
W	neural network weight matrix
$\sigma, \cdot^{\top}, \cdot \ \cdot$	non-linear activation, transpose, concatenation

Table 1: Notations used in this tutorial

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Ŵ

Convolution

An operation on two functions f and g that produces a third function $f \star g$.

Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Neural networks with the operation of convolution, usually used on images where g is grid and f is called *filter*.

Convolution on Graphs

Graphs are not as regular as grids. New methods are needed to generalize convolution to them.



(a) An example of 2D convolution.



(b) Convolution on graphs?

イロト イヨト イヨト

 \blacktriangleright Spectral convolutions on graphs with signal $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the Fourier domain

$$g_{\theta} \star \vec{x} = U g_{\theta} U^{\top} \vec{x} \tag{1}$$

where

- 1. Normalized graph Laplacian $L = I_N D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}} = U\Lambda U^{\top}$
- 2. U is the matrix of eigenvectors of normalized graph Laplacian
- 3. $U^{\top}\vec{x}$ is the Fourier transformation on \vec{x}
- 4. g_{θ} is the spectral convolutional filter. Can be seen as a function $g_{\theta}(\Lambda)$ on eigenvalues of L
- Equation 1 is computationally expensive and thus needed an efficient approximation.

イロト イヨト イヨト



Generalize Convolution to Graphs (cont.)

Approximations

1. K^{th} order Chebyshev polynomial

$$g_{\theta'}(\Lambda) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{K} \theta'_k T_k(\tilde{\Lambda})$$
 (2)

2. In Equation 1, substitute g_{θ} with Equation 2

$$g_{\theta'} \star \vec{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \theta'_k T_k(\tilde{L}) \vec{x}, \tilde{L} = \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}} L - I_N$$
(3)

3. Limit order K to 1, round λ_{\max} to 2, and reduce parameters

$$g_{\theta'} \star \vec{x} \approx \theta'_0 \vec{x} - \theta'_1 D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \vec{x}$$
$$\approx \theta \left(I_N + D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \vec{x}$$
(4)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨー



Renormalization

- In Equation 4, the I_N + D^{-¹/₂} AD^{-¹/₂} term's eigenvalues are in [0, 2]. Stacking layers with this operation might cause vanishing/exploding gradients.
- The renormalization trick is thus introduced to alleviate this problem

$$I_N + D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow \tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{A}\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\tilde{A}=A+I_N$, \tilde{D} is $\tilde{A}{}^{\prime}{\rm s}$ degree matrix



Fast Approximate Graph Convolution

Generalize to vector signal nodes

$$\theta\left(\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{A}\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\vec{x}\longrightarrow\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{A}\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}X\Theta$$

Propagation rule

Multi-layer Graph Convolution Network [2]

$$H^{(l+1)} = \sigma \left(\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{A} \tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} H^{(l)} W^{(l)} \right), H^{(0)} = X$$

▶ Two-layer example (Calculate $\hat{A} = \tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{A}\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in advance)

$$Z = f(X, A) = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\hat{A} \operatorname{ReLU} \left(\hat{A} X W^{(0)} \right) W^{(1)} \right)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト



Goal

- Distill high-dimensional information and reduce it to a dense vector.
- Low-dimensional vector embeddings of nodes in large graphs are very useful in various downstream tasks.

Problem with Using GCNs

- Whole graph is large and computationally prohibitive. Mini-batch is slow to train and hard to converge.
- Full graph is needed, training in a transductive way.
- Difficult to use on real-world dynamic graphs.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



Sample neighborhood and aggregate the information.







2. Aggregate feature information from neighbors

3. Predict graph context and label using aggregated information

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Figure 4: Illustration of GraphSAGE forward propagation.²

²http://snap.stanford.edu/graphsage/

Ŕ

GraphSAGE Forward Propagation [3]

Result: Node *i*'s representation z_i after K iterations $\vec{h}_i^0 \leftarrow \vec{h}_i, \forall i \in \mathcal{V};$ for $k = 1 \dots K$ do for $i \in \mathcal{V}$ do $\vec{h}_{N_i}^k \leftarrow \text{AGGREGATE}_k \left(\{ \vec{h}_j, \forall j \in \mathcal{N}_i \} \right); \\ \vec{h}_i^k \leftarrow \sigma \left(W^k \cdot \left[\vec{h}_i^{k-1} \| \vec{h}_{\mathcal{N}_i}^k \right] \right);$ end $ec{h}_{i}^{k} \leftarrow rac{ec{h}_{i}^{k}}{\lVertec{h}_{i}^{k}
Vert_{2}}, orall i \in \mathcal{V};$ end $\vec{z}_i \leftarrow \vec{h}_i^K, \forall i \in \mathcal{V}$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト



Graph-Based Loss Function [3]

$$L_{G}(\vec{h}_{i}) = -\log\left(\sigma\left(\vec{h}_{i}^{\top}\vec{h}_{j}\right)\right) - Q \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}_{v_{i} \sim P_{n(i)}}\log\left(\sigma\left(-\vec{h}_{i}^{\top}\vec{h}_{v_{i}}\right)\right)\right)$$

- \blacktriangleright *j* is a node that co-occurs near *i* on fixed-length random walk.
- σ is the sigmoid function, $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}$
- ▶ P_n is a negative sampling distribution, Q is # of negative samples.

Based on loss L_G , the parameters in Algorithm 1 are optimized with stochastic gradient descend.

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



Mean Aggregator

$$\vec{h}_{i}^{k} \leftarrow \sigma\left(W \cdot \operatorname{MEAN}\left(\left\{\vec{h}_{i}^{k-1}\right\} \cup \left\{\vec{h}_{j}^{k-1}, \forall j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}\right\}\right)\right)$$

LSTM Aggregator

$$\vec{h}_{i}^{k} \leftarrow \text{LSTM}\left(\pi\left\{\vec{h}_{j}, \forall j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}\right\}\right)$$

Pooling Aggregator

$$\vec{h}_{i}^{k} \leftarrow \max\left(\left\{\sigma\left(W_{\text{pool}}\vec{h}_{j}^{k} + \vec{b}\right), \forall j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}\right\}\right)$$

-

イロト イヨト イヨト イ



- Attention mechanism achieves great successes in sequence-based tasks.
- They can be used to deal with variable size inputs, and focus on the most relevant parts by assigning different *weights*.
- Attention used on a single sequence is called *self-attention*.



• • • • • • • • • • •



(Self-)Attention Mechanism on Graphs

- ▶ Input: Set of node features $H = \left\{ \vec{h}_1, \vec{h}_2, \cdots, \vec{h}_N \right\}, h_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$. *N* is the number of nodes and *d* is the feature dimension.
- Output: A new set of node features $H' = \left\{ \vec{h}'_1, \vec{h}'_2, \cdots, \vec{h}'_N \right\}, h'_i \in \mathbb{R}^d.$

• Attention $a: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ with weight matrix W

$$e_{ij} = a(W\vec{h}_i, W\vec{h}_j)$$

 e_{ij} is called attention coefficients.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨー



Details of Attention a

- ▶ Masked: Calculate e_{ij} for $j \in N_i$, i.e., *i*'s neighborhood.
- Normalized: Use softmax to normalize across all j's

$$\alpha_{ij} = \operatorname{softmax}_j(e_{ij}) = \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{k \in N_i} \exp(e_{ik})}$$

Attention a's implementation

$$\begin{split} \alpha_{ij} &= \frac{\exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}(\vec{a}^{\top}[W\vec{h}_i||W\vec{h}_j])\right)}{\sum_{k \in N_i} \exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}(\vec{a}^{\top}[W\vec{h}_i||W\vec{h}_j])\right)} \\ \text{LeakyReLU} &= \begin{cases} \alpha \cdot x, & x < 0\\ x, & x > 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

• • • • • • • • • • •



Attention Acts on Hidden Representations

Linear combination and activation

$$\vec{h}_i' = \sigma \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \alpha_{ij} W \vec{h}_j \right)$$

Multi-head attention

Concatenation

$$\vec{h}_{i}' = \Big\|_{k=1}^{K} \sigma\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}} \alpha_{ij} W^{k} \vec{h}_{j}\right)$$

Average

$$\vec{h}_i' = \sigma \left(\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \alpha_{ij} W^k \vec{h}_j \right)$$

• • • • • • • • • • •



Graph Attention Network Propagation Rule and Illustration [4]

$$\vec{h}_{i}^{\prime} = \sigma \left(\sum_{j \in N_{i}} \frac{\exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}\left(\vec{a}^{\top} \left[W \vec{h}_{i} || W \vec{h}_{j} \right] \right) \right)}{\sum_{k \in N_{i}} \exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}\left(\vec{a}^{\top} \left[W \vec{h}_{i} || W \vec{h}_{j} \right] \right) \right)} W \vec{h}_{j} \right)$$

Figure 6: Left: Attention mechanism *a*. Right: Multi-head attention on a graph.

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・



Q & A

Data Exploration & Extracting Lab @ PolyU

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □ ↓ < □



- [1] F. Scarselli, M. Gori, A. C. Tsoi, M. Hagenbuchner, and G. Monfardini, "The graph neural network model," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 61–80, 2009. DOI: 10.1109/TNN.2008.2005605. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1109/TNN.2008.2005605.
- T. N. Kipf and M. Welling, "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks," in 5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings, OpenReview.net, 2017.
 [Online]. Available:

https://openreview.net/forum?id=SJU4ayYgl.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

References (cont.)



[3] W. L. Hamilton, Z. Ying, and J. Leskovec, "Inductive representation learning on large graphs," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017, 4-9 December 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA, I. Guyon, U. von Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. M. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. V. N. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, Eds., 2017, pp. 1024-1034. [Online]. Available: http://papers.nips.cc/paper/6703-inductiverepresentation-learning-on-large-graphs. [4] P. Velickovic, G. Cucurull, A. Casanova, A. Romero, P. Liò, and Y. Bengio, "Graph attention networks," in 6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings, OpenReview.net, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://openreview.net/forum?id=rJXMpikCZ.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >